arXiv:1702.03912v1 [nlin.CD] 13 Feb 2017

On multifractals: a non-linear study of actigraphy data

Lucas Gabriel Souza França[a,b], Pedro Montoya[c], José Garcia Vivas Miranda[a,d,*]

[a]*Department of Physics of the Earth and the Environment, Institute of Physics, Federal University of Bahia, Salvador, Brazil*
[b]*Department of Clinical and Experimental Epilepsy, UCL Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK*
[c]*Research Institute of Health Sciences (IUNICS), University of the Balearic Islands, Palma de Mallorca, Spain*
[d]*Motion Analysis Laboratory, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA*

Abstract

The health care process generates a vast quantity of data. Exploitation and analysis of such material might lead discoveries and potentially improve the clinical activity. Over the last years, several studies focused on the non-linear features exhibited by physiological signals and medical data, in general, the complex features of these signals have been demonstrated in some studies, ranging from heartbeat time intervals to neuron spikes series. Some studies employed fractal and multifractal concepts to the study of such medical data and similar studies of movement patterns on individuals with fibromyalgia, recorded by actigraphy devices, might provide new information that can be potentially translated into the clinical practice. Chronic pain is a condition characterised by sleep disturbances and psychological disorders that is correlated with impairment and reduced physical activity. This work aimed, to determine the characteristics of activity series from fractal geometry concepts application, in addition to evaluate the possibility of identifying individuals with fibromyalgia. Activity level data were collected from 27 healthy subjects and 27 fibromyalgia patients, with the use of clock-like devices equipped with accelerometers, for about four weeks, all day long. The activity series were evaluated through fractal and multifractal methods. Hurst exponent analysis exhibited values according to other studies ($H > 0.5$) for both groups ($H = 0.98 \pm 0.04$ for healthy subjects and $H = 0.97 \pm 0.03$ for fibromyalgia patients), however, it is not possible to distinguish between the two groups by such analysis. Activity time series also exhibited a multifractal pattern. A paired analysis of the spectra indices for the sleep and awake states revealed differences between healthy subjects and fibromyalgia patients. The individuals feature differences between awake and sleep states, having statistically significant differences for $\alpha_{q-} - \alpha_0$ in healthy subjects ($p = 0.014$) and D_0 for patients with fibromyalgia ($p = 0.013$). The approach has proven to be an option on the characterisation of such kind of signals and was able to differ between both healthy and fibromyalgia groups. This outcome suggests changes in the physiologic mechanisms of movement control.

Keywords: fibromyalgia, actigraphy, multifractal, activity, hurst, variability

1. Introduction

The medical sciences and health care process generate a vast quantity of data. Data analysis in health sciences could reveal new relationships between symptoms and physiological mechanisms [1]. The natural way to parse this information comprehends starting with linear methodologies, such as mean and standard deviation, nevertheless linear approaches they do not appropriately handle the enormous inter and intra-individual variability of physiological and psychological symptoms.

Over the last years, several studies focused on the non-linear features exhibited by physiological signals, in general. The complex features of these such signals have been demonstrated in some studies, ranging from heartbeat time intervals [2] to neuron spikes series [3]. They are expressed in heteroscedastic recordings, exhibiting huge variability along time and over different individuals.

Such studies provided new insights on how human control mechanisms work and the disease processes change these features. In an example of application, researchers evaluated long-term correlation on interbeat intervals and noticed a variation on the scalling properties in patients suffering with heart diseases [2]. A

*Corresponding author
Email address: vivas@ufba.br (José Garcia Vivas Miranda)

further study suggested a multifractal behaviour on the human heartbeat patterns [4]. In both cases, changes in physiologic mechanisms of control, revealed by the multifractal analysis, are pointed as the cause of such changes.

The multifractal geometry is an extension of the fractal definition, that allows a better characterisation of elements that require an infinity number of parameters to be described [5], and is a possible tool to expand knowledge about the dynamics of physiological signals and healthcare data. A potentially eminent application of such approach is the study of actigraphy signals patterns in humans. Such analysis could reveal underlying features of movement in activity recordings.

Actigraphy is a technique that allows the inference of wakefulness and sleep from the presence or absence of movenent [6]. Data obtained with such technique were used to study the scaling properties on the activity time series for healthy subjects [7]. They have shown there are fractal properties in the non-random fluctuation of human activity, suggesting a multi-scale mechanism of the locomotor regulation. Later, it was revealed that the activity time series for Alzheimer's disease patients also have scaling properties, however, with a different exponent [8]. This analysis can uncover new properties of the movement mechanisms that were not accessible with the conventional linear methods.

Applying a multifractal approach to study movement patterns in patients with chronic pain, e.g., fibromyalgia [9], might provide valuable information about the heterogeneity in the motor behaviour of such group, providing new information that can be potentially translated into clinical practice.

The subjective diagnosis protocol and the lack of a more precise approach to identify the syndrome makes the clinical treatment of affected patients more difficult. A better way to classify and identify potential markers of the syndrome would improve healthcare protocols and provide a better quality of life to patients, as well as, give insights about the aetiology of the illness.

The fatigue reported by patients with fibromyalgia is severe enough to change the kinematic limits of movements, modifying their daily activities with direct impact on quality of life [10, 11]. Actigraphy has been used to assess changes in these patterns of activity for some patients [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Moreover, it was shown that actigraphy is a useful way of studying activity levels and sleep patterns, and, have demonstrated significant differences between FM patients with and without comorbid depression [14]. In all these studies the methods used to characterize the activity were always linear and conclusions based on parametric tests of motion measures.

The objective of this work is, then, to evaluate actigraphy data based on multifractal tools, in order to look for motor markers of the syndrome. In this study, the Chhabra and Jensen approach [17] was used to study the scaling properties in activity time series of healthy and subjects with fibromyalgia. In our hypothesis, FM patients should exhibit different scaling properties when compared to healthy individuals.

2. Methodology

An actigraphy device, attached to wrist on fifty-four individuals, collected data of physical activity. Individuals regularly wore the devices during a period of about four weeks without interruption, except for bath time. Written inform consent was obtained from all participants and the protocol of the study was approved by the official ethical committee of the Government of Balearic Islands (reference: 1431/10 PI). Individuals were classified in two different groups: patients suffering from chronic pain for more than one year (27 individuals) and healthy subjects (27 individuals). The series of activity were split into smaller segments consisting of two possible states for an individual: awake and sleep. The information about the state is given by the actiwatch device and determined by a commercial algorithm, the device registers the activity level at each 30 seconds. After the segmentation, the parts of the same state were stuck together, and then, two series, corresponding to the both states, awake and sleep, were created for each individual. An example of actigraphy for a healthy individual is shown in figure 1.



Figure 1: Actigraphy time series showing both states for a healthy individual. The recording shows fluctuactions on the movement level in acceleration unities (a.u.). Different states present distinct visual patterns, being the amplitude the most notable difference.

Fractal analysis was performed on original series and multifractal analysis on the segmented states series. The Hurst exponent was estimated with the Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA) method [18], and an algorithm

available on the PhysioNet repository [19]. Parameters for the fractal analysis followed the previous ones found in literature [7], with a window size varying from 2 to 250 minutes. For the multifractal analysis, the method developed by Chhabra and Jensen [17] was used due to its accuracy and implementation easiness. The method enables a precise determination of the generalized dimensions D_q and $f(\alpha)$ singularity spectra, avoiding disadvantages of using a Legendre transform, employed in some methods like the Multifractal Detrended Moving Average (MFDMA) approach [20], and it is suitable for experimental data of a system of an unknown dynamics [17].

The generalized dimensions, D_q, evaluate the q_{th} order scaling properties of the profile and is defined in equation 1.

$$D_q = \frac{1}{q-1} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{log \sum_i P_i^q(\epsilon)}{\log \epsilon} \quad (1)$$

Where, $P_i(\epsilon)$ represents the probability of the measure in the i^{th} segment of size ϵ, calculated by dividing the sum of the activity value in the segment i by the sum in the whole support length. q acts as a filter for large measures ($q > 0$) and for small measures ($q < 0$). For $q = 0$, D_0 indicates the capacity dimension, representing the scaling of how measures are distributed in the support. In actigraphy data this index characterises the irregularity of how individuals move or not independently of the intensity.

The $f(\alpha)$ singularity spectra are defined parametrically in q as $f(q)$ and $\alpha(q)$. In order to estimate the multifractal spectra, the qth order normalised probability measures (also known as the partition function) $\mu_i(q, \epsilon)$ have to be derived according to the equation 2.

$$\mu_i(q, \epsilon) = \frac{[P_i(\epsilon)]^q}{\sum_i [P_i(\epsilon)]^q} \quad (2)$$

The Chhabra and Jensen [17] definitions can be expressed as shown in the equations 3 and 4.

$$\alpha(q) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\sum_i \mu_i(q, \epsilon) \log P_i(\epsilon)}{\log \epsilon} \quad (3)$$

$$f(q) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\sum_i \mu_i(q, \epsilon) \log \mu_i(q, \epsilon)}{\log \epsilon} \quad (4)$$

Multifractal indices are powerful tools for characterizing variability in scaling properties of measures. The difference $(\alpha_{max} - \alpha_{min})$ is used to examine the heterogeneity in the scaling properties. For monofractals profiles, this difference is approximately zero. In actigraphy measures, this difference indicates the heterogeneity of how smooth or jerky movements are.

Low values of α are related with jerking movements and high values with smooth ones. $f(\alpha)$ estimate the scaling exponent for each singularity α. This heterogeneity index can be divided in two indices: for low values of α, $(\alpha_{q+} - \alpha_0)$ and for high values $(\alpha_0 - \alpha_{q-})$, where α_{q-} and α_{q+} are the α values for the minimum and maximum value of q considered, respectively. A diagram illustrating the indices meaning is presented in figure 2.



Figure 2: Meaning of the multifractal indices. $(\alpha_{max} - \alpha_{min})$ measures the heterogeneity in the time series, as $(\alpha_{q+} - \alpha_0)$ and $(\alpha_0 - \alpha_{q-})$ assess such quantity for high and low singularities, respectively.

For this method, in order to estimate the partition function, the range of scales (ϵ) used was between 2 and 256 points, corresponding to a time interval between 1 and 128 minutes and a q value varying from -25 to 25. The multifractal indices $(\alpha_{max} - \alpha_{min})$, $(\alpha_0 - \alpha_{q+})$, $(\alpha_{q-} - \alpha_0)$, D_0, D_1 and D_2, were estimated for each activity state (awake and sleep) for each subject.

3. Results

3.1. Monofractal analysis

The monofractal analysis revealed a persistent behaviour ($H > 0.5$) for both groups ($H = 0.98 \pm 0.04$ for healthy subjects and $H = 0.97 \pm 0.03$ for fibromyalgia patients). However, it is not possible to differ between fibromyalgia patients and healthy subjects by a monofractal analysis, as it can be seen in figure 3.

3.2. Multifractal analysis

The multifractal spectra derived with the Chhabra-Jensen method revealed wide and distinct shapes for the different states. Moreover, such curves presented variability between the individuals, i.e., visually they do not seem to be defined by the group - HC or FM - but by



Figure 3: Hurst exponent for both healthy and fibromyalgia individuals groups. The distributions are similar, i.e., they have aproximate mean, and present values on the persistent behaviour exponents range.

Table 1: P-values for the multifractal indices that presented significant differences on Wilcoxons paired test. Only the right side of the parabola ($\alpha_{q-} - \alpha_0$) and the support dimension D_0 showed statistically significant differences. * indicates $p < 0.05$.

Index	FM	HC
$\alpha_{q-} - \alpha_0$	0.859	0.014*
D_0	0.013*	0.135

each individual. The spectra obtained for each person of both groups can be seen in figure 4.

No differences were found on comparing the healthy subjects and fibromyalgia patients group, however, when comparing the difference between states of daytime activity and sleep in the same subject, significant differences were found for D_0 and $(\alpha_{q-} - \alpha_0)$ indices. Figure 5 and table 1 show the results of the Wilcoxons paired test comparing these multifractal indices. The results shown that for healthy (HC) subjects, exclusively, there are significant differences between daytime and sleep activity for smooth movements $(\alpha_{q-} - \alpha_0)$. In contrast, for the capacity dimension D_0 the relation is reversed: FM subjects have significant differences between movement activation for daytime and sleep, as HC does not.

4. Discussion

To date, actigraphy data have not been widely studied based on scaling their scaling features. Monofractal properties of actigraphy signals from patients with fibromyalgia have not been explored, so far, as well as the multifractal features of such data both in both



(a) HC - Awake (b) HC - Sleep

(c) FM - Awake (d) FM - Sleep

Figure 4: Multifractal spectra derived for both groups (FM and HC) and states (awake and sleep). The main feature is the variability of the curves, i.e, individuals present different spectral shapes regardless of their groups. The curves for sleep state feature more symmetrical shapes.

healthy individuals and patients with the condition.

This study, then, proposed to analyse these questions in detail and applied monofractal and multifractal methods to acttigraphy data in patients with fibromyalgia and healthy subjects looking for markers of the chronic condition.

Monofractal analysis results, for both groups, exhibit a value according to literature [7], however, it is not possible to differ between the two populations by this analysis. The monofractal evaluation is not sensitive to the changes in the movement activation pattern; the result indicates the need of a new framework, such as multifractal analysis, that have been extensively employed on physiological signals analysis [5].

Methodologies such as multifractal analyses might provide important practical diagnostic and prognostic not obtainable with the canonical approaches. The versatility of the method when it comes to the wide range of different types of signal to which it can be applied is another important feature [5].

The multifractal approach was applied in different studies on physiologic signals, e.g., in human heartbeat dynamics [4], evidencing differences between healthy individuals and patients with heart disease; seizure occurrence [21], on a trial to build a detection protocol; and in postural sway study [22].

In this study, the multifractal spectra derived with Chhabra-Jensen method [17] exhibited a large vari-



Figure 5: Differences between $(\alpha_{q-} - \alpha_0)$ and D_0 for the awake and sleep states. Each point in the graph represents the difference in the index between the awake and sleep states for one individual. The statistically significant measures are highlighted in red. Healthy individuals present changes on the variable $(\alpha_{q-} - \alpha_0)$, implying in changes for smooth movements, whereas patients with fibromyalgia only feature changes in their support measure D_0 that assess the movement activation patterns.

ability across different individuals, suggesting an individual component of the scaling properties in actigraphy recordings and, consequently, in physiologic mechanisms of control. A paired analysis, comparing both states, was employed to bypass the limitations associated with the individual variability. Such analysis is able remove the individual features that difficult the characterisation of the phenomenon and exhibit changes caused by the syndrome. The results present significant differences between FM patients and healthy individuals.

The differences found in D_0, for fibromyalgia group between the awake and sleep states, suggest differences in the pattern of movement activation. For time series D_0 range between 0 and 1. Lower values of D_0 are related to more fragmented support ($D_0 = 1$ represents a continuous measure support). Results show a tendency in patients with fibromyalgia of having a greater D_0 in sleep state than for their awake state, pointing to more continuous movement activations in sleep state than awake for this group. This reveals the pattern of sleep disturbance, commonly known in the sleep of this patients and reported in literature [9].

The right side of the parabola $(\alpha_{q-} - \alpha_0)$ is associated with heterogeneity in small singularities, and, in this work, with heterogeneity in smooth movements. Only healthy subjects exhibited differences between the two states for the right side, according to the Wilcoxons paired test. Indicating that awake state presents more heterogeneity in smooth movements than sleep in healthy group. The lack of difference, for fibromyalgica patients, might also be associated with sleep motor dysfunctions, a common complaint in these patients [9].

5. Conclusions

Actigraphy data exhibit a multifractal pattern and it was possible to differ between healthy and fibromyalgia group by multifractal indices. Multifractal techniques, when applied to actigraphy signal, were able to reveal patterns in movement activation and smoothness heterogeneity. The characterisation of such patterns represents a new way to evaluate activity data, bringing key information about motor behaviour in subjects with movement disorders.

In the case of this study, the distinct features of awake and sleep states were able to differentiate between a healthy group and individuals with fibromyalgia, showing that the sleep disturbance reported literature, is related to movement activation patterns and smoothness heterogeneity.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation and European Regional Development Funds (MICINN PSI2010-19372) and by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado da Bahia (FAPESB) (PRONEX 0006/2009).

LGSF was supported by the Brazilian funding agency Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES).

LGSF and JGVM were supported by grants from Brazilian National Council of Research and Development (CNPq) (206907/2014-1 and 201499/2012-6, respectively).

References

[1] J. C. Prather, D. F. Lobach, L. K. Goodwin, J. W. Hales, M. L. Hage, W. E. Hammond, Medical data mining: knowledge discovery in a clinical data warehouse., Proceedings : a conference of the American Medical Informatics Association / ... AMIA Annual Fall Symposium. AMIA Fall Symposium (1997) 101–5.

[2] C. Peng, S. Havlin, H. E. Stanley, A. L. Goldberger, Quantification of scaling exponents and crossover phenomena in nonstationary heartbeat time series, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science 5 (1) (1995) 82–87. doi:10.1063/1.166141.

[3] K. Natarajan, R. Acharya U, F. Alias, T. Tiboleng, S. K. Puthusserypady, Nonlinear analysis of EEG signals at different mental states, BioMedical Engineering OnLine 3 (1) (2004) 7. doi:10.1186/1475-925X-3-7.

[4] P. C. Ivanov, L. A. N. Amaral, A. L. Goldberger, S. Havlin, M. G. Rosenblum, Z. R. Struzik, H. E. Stanley, Multifractality in human heartbeat dynamics, Nature 399 (6735) (1999) 461–465. doi:10.1038/20924.

[5] H. Stanley, L. Amaral, A. Goldberger, S. Havlin, P. Ivanov, C.-K. Peng, Statistical physics and physiology: Monofractal and multifractal approaches, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and

its Applications 270 (1-2) (1999) 309–324. doi:10.1016/S0378-4371(99)00230-7.

[6] K. L. Lichstein, K. C. Stone, J. Donaldson, S. D. Nau, J. P. Soeffing, D. Murray, K. W. Lester, R. N. Aguillard, Actigraphy validation with insomnia, Sleep 29 (2) (2006) 232–9.

[7] K. Hu, P. C. Ivanov, Z. Chen, M. F. Hilton, H. Stanley, S. A. Shea, Non-random fluctuations and multi-scale dynamics regulation of human activity, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 337 (1-2) (2004) 307–318. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2004.01.042.

[8] K. Hu, E. J. W. Van Someren, S. A. Shea, F. A. J. L. Scheer, Reduction of scale invariance of activity fluctuations with aging and Alzheimer's disease: Involvement of the circadian pacemaker, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106 (8) (2009) 2490–2494. doi:10.1073/pnas.0806087106.

[9] F. Wolfe, H. A. Smythe, M. B. Yunus, R. M. Bennett, C. Bombardier, D. L. Goldenberg, P. Tugwell, S. M. Campbell, M. Abeles, P. Clark, A. G. Fam, S. J. Farber, J. J. Fiechtner, C. Michael Franklin, R. A. Gatter, D. Hamaty, J. Lessard, A. S. Lichtbroun, A. T. Masi, G. A. Mccain, W. John Reynolds, T. J. Romano, I. Jon Russell, R. P. Sheon, The american college of rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of fibromyalgia, Arthritis & Rheumatism 33 (2) (1990) 160–172. doi:10.1002/art.1780330203.

[10] R. M. Bennett, J. Jones, D. C. Turk, I. J. Russell, L. Matallana, An internet survey of 2,596 people with fibromyalgia, BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 8 (1) (2007) 27. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-8-27.

[11] J. Jones, D. N. Rutledge, K. D. Jones, L. Matallana, D. S. Rooks, Self-Assessed Physical Function Levels Of Women with Fibromyalgia, Women's Health Issues 18 (5) (2008) 406–412. doi:10.1016/j.whi.2008.04.005.

[12] S. Kashikar-Zuck, S. R. Flowers, E. Verkamp, T. V. Ting, A. M. Lynch-jordan, T. B. Graham, M. Passo, K. N. Schikler, P. J. Hashkes, S. Spalding, G. Banez, M. M. Richards, S. W. Powers, L. M. Arnold, D. Lovell, Actigraphy-Based Physical Activity Monitoring in Adolescents With Juvenile Primary Fibromyalgia Syndrome, The Journal of Pain 11 (9) (2010) 885–893. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2009.12.009.

[13] S. Kashikar-Zuck, S. R. Flowers, D. Strotman, S. Sil, T. V. Ting, K. N. Schikler, Physical activity monitoring in adolescents with juvenile fibromyalgia: Findings from a clinical trial of cognitive-behavioral therapy, Arthritis Care & Research 65 (3) (2013) 398–405. doi:10.1002/acr.21849.

[14] A. Korszun, E. A. Young, N. Engleberg, C. B. Brucksch, J. F. Greden, L. A. Crofford, Use of actigraphy for monitoring sleep and activity levels in patients with fibromyalgia and depression, Journal of Psychosomatic Research 52 (6) (2002) 439–443. doi:10.1016/S0022-3999(01)00237-9.

[15] A. Okifuji, B. D. Hare, Nightly Analyses of Subjective and Objective (Actigraphy) Measures of Sleep in Fibromyalgia Syndrome, The Clinical Journal of Pain 27 (4) (2011) 289–296. doi:10.1097/AJP.0b013e31820485db.

[16] A. Sadeh, C. Acebo, The role of actigraphy in sleep medicine, Sleep Medicine Reviews 6 (2) (2002) 113–124. doi:10.1053/smrv.2001.0182.

[17] A. Chhabra, R. V. Jensen, Direct determination of the f(α) singularity spectrum, Physical Review Letters 62 (12) (1989) 1327–1330. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1327.

[18] C. Peng, S. V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, M. Simons, H. E. Stanley, A. L. Goldberger, Mosaic organization of DNA nucleotides, Physical Review E 49 (2) (1994) 1685–1689. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.49.1685.

[19] A. L. Goldberger, L. A. N. Amaral, L. Glass, J. M. Hausdorff, P. C. Ivanov, R. G. Mark, J. E. Mietus, G. B. Moody, C.-K. Peng, H. E. Stanley, PhysioBank, PhysioToolkit, and PhysioNet : Components of a New Research Resource for Complex Physiologic Signals, Circulation 101 (23) (2000) e215–e220. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.101.23.e215.

[20] G.-F. Gu, W.-X. Zhou, Detrending moving average algorithm for multifractals, Physical Review E 82 (1) (2010) 011136. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.82.011136.

[21] Y. Zhang, W. Zhou, S. Yuan, Multifractal Analysis and Relevance Vector Machine-Based Automatic Seizure Detection in Intracranial EEG, International Journal of Neural Systems 25 (06) (2015) 1550020. doi:10.1142/S0129065715500203.

[22] N. M. L. Clifford ST Choy, Identifying Multifractality Structure on Postural Sway, Journal of Ergonomics 05 (02). doi:10.4172/2165-7556.1000137.